

11-34 51-a

STAT

27 APR 1959

Mr. Georges F. Doriot

STAT

Dear Mr. Doriot:

Mr. Dulles has asked me to thank you very much for your letter of 21 April and, in particular, your kind thoughts about his brother's illness.

We have forwarded the information that you sent us on [redacted] to our personnel people here who will be in touch with him very shortly. We appreciate your bringing this to our attention.

STAT

Sincerely,

[redacted]  
Executive Officer

STAT

O/DCI, [redacted] dd 27 April 59

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

1 - JSE

1 - O/Personnel (Attn: [redacted]  
w/basic for necessary action

1 - AAB

1 - ER

STAT

STAT

EXECUTIVE SECRET

Executive Registry

STAT

11-35-26

*card* 25 April 1959

Mr. Otto C. Doering, Jr.  
Donovan, Leisure, Newton & Irvine  
2 Wall Street  
New York, N. Y.

Dear Ole:

I enclose a draft of my Buffalo address on Bill's career. It is still in rough form and your comments would be appreciated both as to accuracy and to content. Also, you will note I have left a blank at the bottom of page 6 for a further insert on the legal side of his work.

I have just finished the dictation this morning and have not had a chance to read it over or to correct this draft so please consider it as highly tentative. I will have next week to work on it.

If you could dictate your insert over the telephone to my secretary, it would save us time and I could then discuss it with you. Many thanks for your help.

Sincerely,

*20*  
Allen W. Dulles  
Director  
*by J. S. G.*

Encl.


AWD/c  
1 - DCI  
1 - ER via Reading

(EXECUTIVE SECRETARY)

77-3477

24 APR 1959

Rev. Avery Dulles, S. J.



Dear Avery:

Thank you for sending along the letters  
you received containing information on new  
cancer cures.

I have been forwarding such letters to  
the doctors at the National Institutes of Health  
for their information and attention as appropriate.

With warmest regards,

Sincerely,

SIGNED

Allen W. Dulles  
Director

O/DCI [redacted] :kp(4/23/59)

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

1 - DCI

✓ 1 - ER


1 - JMC Chrono



STAT

*P.S. Let me know if there is any way you  
wish me to keep you currently informed  
of developments. If you get the N.Y.  
Times (European edition) you will get all  
but the intimate details.*

*151 A.W.D.*



STAT

7 APR 1959

*card*

Dr. Leon Bostert  
Director  
Institute of Languages and  
Linguistics  
Georgetown University  
Washington, D. C.



Dear Leon:

Today I had to decline an invitation to  
attend the Georgetown dinner in your honor on  
30 May.

I wanted you to know how sorry I am to  
decline, but my plans take me out of the City on  
that date.

Best regards and best wishes,

Sincerely,

C. P. Cabell  
General, USAF  
Acting Director


CPC/kp(4/7/59)

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

✓ 1 - DDCI

✓ 1 - ER



STAT

EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

*59*  
*D*

2473-a

**CONFIDENTIAL**

25X1

1 APR 1959

Mr. Ferdinand Durcansky

Dear Sir:

Mr. Dulles has asked me to acknowledge and thank you for your letter and memorandum of 20 March 1959 which have been forwarded to the appropriate officers for review.

Your interest and thoughts are appreciated.

Sincerely,

**WCH**

Executive Officer

Signature Recommended:

Deputy Director (Plans)

SR/DCOP e (31 Mar 59)

Rewritten: O/DCI 1 Apr

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - JSE

1 - ER

**CONFIDENTIAL**

~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

Executive Registry

11-2473/1

31 March 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

VIA : Deputy Director (Plans) *for*

SUBJECT : Reply to letter from Ferdinand Durcansky  
dated 20 March 1959

1. Attached is a suggested reply to the letter addressed to the Director by Ferdinand Durcansky of the Anti-Bolshevik Bloc of Nations (ABN).

2. It is recommended that nothing more than a polite and appreciative acknowledgment be included in the answer.

25X1

Chief, SR Division

Attachment:

Suggested reply to letter

cc: DDCI

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Executive Registry

11-2423/a

CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Ferdinand Durcansky

Dear Sir:

Your letter and memorandum of 20 March 1959 have been forwarded to the appropriate officers for review.

Your interest and thoughts are appreciated.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Dulles  
Director

cc:DDCI

Signature Recommended:

1 MAR 1959

Deputy Director (Plans)

SR/DCOP/ [redacted] :jle (31 March 1959)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Addressee  
2 - DCI  
2 - SR

~~SECRET~~

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☒ SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/04/30 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200080067-3

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

**CONFIDENTIAL**

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Reply to letter from Ferdinand Durcansky dated 20 March 1959

FROM:

SR/DCOP/ ☐ :jle

NO.

DATE

31 March 1959

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

☐  
Chief, SR Division J

2.

3.

Deputy Director Plans

1038

4.

5. Allen W. Dulles  
Director

☐

3/31

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

1. For your signature.

3. For your information  
and signature recommendation.

5. For your retention and  
action.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

FORM  
1 DEC 56

610

USE PREVIOUS  
EDITIONS

Approved For Release 2003/04/30 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200080067-3

☒ SECRET

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY

☐ UNCLASSIFIED



CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Munich 8, Zeppelinstraße 67, Tel. 41069 — 364235 - Cablegrams Address: ABN Tel. 41069 Munich

No. ....

Munich,

Executive Registry

11-2473

Chicago, Ill., March 20, 1959.

**PRESIDIUM OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE:**

**President:**

JAROSLAW STETZKO  
Former Prime Minister (Ukraine)

**Vice-Presidents:**

General F. FARKAS de KISBARNAK  
(Hungary)

CHRISTO STATEFF  
Former Minister (Bulgaria)

VELI KAJUM KHAN  
President of National Turkestanian  
Unity Committee

**Secretary-General:**

Prince NIKO NAKASHIDZE (Georgia)

**Chief of Press Service:**

DIMITER WALTSCHIEFF  
Former Secretary of State (Bulgaria)

**PRESIDIUM OF THE PEOPLES' COUNCIL:**

**President:**

Prof. Dr. FERDINAND DURCANSKY  
Former Foreign Minister (Slovakia)

**Vice-Presidents:**

General LEV PRCHALA, D.S.O. (Bohemia)  
Prof. R. OSTROWSKI (Byelorussia)  
Dr. OSCAR LOORITZ (Esthonia)

**Secretary-General:**

J. GYTIS, Editor (Lithuania)

**MEMBERS:**

Committee "Free Armenia"  
Bulgarian National Front  
Byelorussian Central Council  
Cossack National Liberation Movement  
Croatian National Liberation Movement  
Czech Movement for Freedom ("Za Svobodu")  
Czech National Committee  
Esthonian Liberation Movement  
Union of the Esthonian Fighters for Freedom  
Georgian National Organization  
Hungarian Liberation Movement  
Hungarian Mindszenty Movement  
Latvian Association for the Struggle against  
Communism  
Lithuanian Rebirth Movement  
Polish Christian Social Movement  
Slovak Liberation Committee  
National Turkestanian Unity Committee  
Ukrainian Hetman Union  
Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists

**COURT OF HONOUR:**

Chairman: Dr. jur. Dimiter Waltscheff

**COMMISSIONS:**

Commission for Problems of the Nations of  
the U.S.S.R.:  
Chairman: Veli Kajum Khan  
Commission for Military Affairs:  
Chairman: General F. Farkas de Kisbarnak  
Commission for Foreign Politics:  
Chairman: Michael de Alschtbaja  
Organizing Commission:  
Chairman: Dr. Ctibor Zeman

To

Mr. Allen Dulles,  
Director of the  
Central Intelligence Agency,  
2430 E. St., N.W.  
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Sir:

I am taking the liberty to send you a Memorandum on the necessity of weakening Russia through the disintegration of the Soviet Empire from within. I think that is the way which could lead the world out of the continual crisis created through Russian imperialistic policy.

I would appreciate if you would give me the possibility to expose you personally the eventual application of the ideas of this general outline.

I am sure that the realization of this policy is in the interest of the United States and the whole humanity.

Sincerely yours

*F. Durcansky*  
Ferdinand Durcansky,

STAT

Prof. Dr. Ferdinand Ľurčanský,  
Speaker of the Assembly of the  
Antibolshevik Bloc of Nations /ABN/,  
Chairman of the Executive Council of the  
Slovak Liberation Committee.

M E M O R A N D U M

on the necessity of weakening Russia through the disintegration  
of the Soviet Empire from within.

If we compare the power possibilities of the Soviet Union and the USA at the end of World War II with the situation today, we see the enormous changes which followed. In 1945 Russia could survive only through the help of the USA, but today the Kremlin despots represent a serious danger to the freedom and peace of the whole world. The Berlin crisis today and the probable crisis in the Near East in the near future are only expressions of this changed situation.

I see no reason to suppose that the situation of the Free World in the future would be any better if the same policies were to be pursued as in the years 1945-1959. On the contrary it is probable that the future would continually bring smaller or greater advantages, but always advantages, to Russia.

Russia has decided to impose her domination on the whole world. To attain this aim Russia is presently engaged in a political, ideological, economic, and even military offensive. From 1945 she has been waging a kind of war against the Free World. The Free World on the other hand is only defending the maintenance of a status quo. This different attitude favors Moscow and has damaging consequences for the Free World.

The soviet offensive policy and the defensive policy of

the Free World assure for Russia the possibilities of communist infiltration, disguised aggressions and the consequent disintegration of the western countries. On the other hand this policy does not assure the Free World the same possibilities behind the Iron Curtain. This containment policy contradicts the principle of reciprocity which is the recognized ground of international relations. The newly propagated policy of disengagement, or elastic proceeding with the Soviets assures Russia new gains and new losses for the Free World.

The Free World sees principally communist ideology as the real danger and hopes to maintain its positions by combating communism. In reality the communist ideology represents only one of the instruments of Russian imperialistic policy. There exists a symbiosis between both. Without the Russian power, the finances granted by Moscow, Soviet economic offensives, activities of agents educated in Russia etc. communism could not represent a real danger for the Free World. Certainly, it is important to fight communists everywhere they appear, but only by combating and weakening Russia would it be possible to succeed in fighting the danger which represents this instrument of Russian aggression. If Russia is weakened, communism is weakened.

Russia does not use only communist ideology to attain her goal, the domination of the world. She uses the ideology of panslavism to dominate East and Central Europe. Russia presents herself as a fighter for independence of colonial peoples to disintegrate Asia and Africa as a first step toward domination of these continents. Moscow engages herself

for the chauvinistic aims of the Arabic peoples to prepare the conditions for Soviet domination of the Near East.

The present rise in modern armaments represents for every people a heavy burden and drains heavily on the living standard of every people. It could be a decisive factor in the disorganization of finances of most free countries. Until Russia dominates 200 million Europeans she has the possibility to realize these aims by exploiting them as slaves without respecting their living standard.

As long as Russia maintains her strength the developing of underdeveloped countries can not proceed in a natural manner, the living standard of many countries of the Free World can not rise enough, the most of free countries, for instance in Europe, in Asia, Israel etc, shall be in a great measure endangered, etc.

This different instruments and measures assure Russia many possibilities to work for the disintegration of the Free World. Moscow finds enough weak places in the Free World which could be misused against it. If in some country of Asia or Africa trouble comes, its economic importance for the Free World is diminished. The economic basis of the Free World would be smaller. Through the neutral attitude of any country the defense possibilities of the Free World would be step by step reduced. Even if Moscow realizes only some of her objectives, step by step her possibilities grow. The Free World could not realize gains while it is on the defensive. It could at best maintain her positions. Kremlin despots through her offensives could create such situations that the Free World would prefer follow a flexible line of policy, and cede some positions rather than risk a war.

That is why the aggressive policy of Moscow was successful in the past and will be successful in the future too. In this way Russia become strong enough to obtain step by step new successes in the future at the cost of the Free World even if ~~this~~ has decided to defend its positions.

## II. Necessity of changing policy against Russia.

The Free World should deduce all political consequences from the fact, that there exists a substantial difference between the interests and the wishes of the soviet ruling class serving the russian imperialistic aims, and the interests of the subjugated and exploited non-Russian peoples. The Free World should make its own the interests of the subjugated peoples against the Kremlin despots. It is logical that the great majority of the enslaved population behind the Iron Curtain is the potential ally of the Free World. There is no doubt that a strong Russia represents an acute danger for freedom and for peace of the world, and that is why the weakening of Russia is in the interest of humanity.

The Free World has to change its defensive policy for an offensive, in a political, ideological and diplomatical sense. The West must replace the policy of maintaining the status quo for a policy aiming toward the weakening of the Russian Empire through its disintegration from inside. This is the policy which could save the world from a 3rd World War.

The Soviet Empire is the creation of the Russians. After 1917 100 million Russians successively imposed not only communism but also Russian domination upon 100 million peoples which proclaimed independence in 1918-1919 after the Bolshevik Revolution. During and after World War II Russia extended her domination upon

other 100 million Europeans. At present, 100 million Russians dominate 200 million non-Russian European peoples.

If it is right that the peoples in the colonies in Asia and in Africa become independent, it could not be wrong if these 200 million European people aim for independence and if the Free World takes a position in the interest of the freedom and independence of these enslaved Europeans. Only through the domination and the exploitation of non-Russian peoples can Russia be strong enough to endanger the whole Free World. If Russian domination be limited to the Russian ethnic territory, she will cease to endanger humanity.

Through the weakening of the Russians, Red China would be weakened also. It is known that not only the establishing of the communist regime in China but also its building-up is impossible without the help of Russia. Without weakening Russia it would be impossible to stop the continual strengthening of Red China.

It is in the interest of the Free World to help and to exploit against Russia all of the centrifugal forces that exist behind the Iron Curtain. To assure the necessary help to everybody who can contribute to weakening Russia, the Free World must recognize the right of independence of all subjugated peoples behind the Iron Curtain.

It would be advantageous if non-communist Russians would be ready to take part in the fight against Moscow imperialism by fighting the Kremlin despots ruling the Russian Empire. I am afraid, this would not be possible; most of them are namely more Russian imperialists than anti-communist. That is why the realization of this goal should not be conditioned through the participation of the Russians.

sary help for the realization of concrete liberation programs of all these peoples. This would represent for the West only advantages. If there would be no peoples behind the Iron Curtain who want independence, the West in its own interest and in the interest of humanity should invent them.

### III. How to procede.

The West has to decide for itself the global political and ideological strategy against Russia as the Kremlin pursues her global aggressiveness against the Free World. It is necessary that in the West there exist a global planning against the Russian imperialistic danger. We have to prepare and realize the conditions for the coordinated and synchronized activity of the population behind the Iron Curtain. It is necessary to exploit the existing unrest of the population against Russia. It is necessary to mobilize and direct all these forces against Moscow's potential.

I have in mind no direct action by the USA or some other free country. This action is contemplated as an action of the subjugated peoples in the interests of their liberation, and not as an action of some Great-Power against Russia. The goal is the decomposition of the Soviet Empire from inside through this liberation activity. The action should be realized through the enslaved peoples, planned and organized through refugees from these countries.

1. The planning, the coordination, the synchronization as well as the realization of the action should be for instance concentrated in the hands of the substantially enlarged Anti-Bolshevik Bloc of Nations /ABN/ staff, composed of the representatives of all enslaved peoples. This staff through collaboration for in-

stance with the Asian Peoples' Anti-Communist League /APACL/ could extend this activity to Asia too.

2. The activity of this ABN staffs would be aided, backed and financed through for instance a Committee organized in the USA or somewhere in the Free World under the name: Friends of ABN in the Free World.

3. To assure the coordination of the activity of the ABN staffs and the Friends of the ABN in the Free World with the political, diplomatic, intelligence and military circles of USA and other free countries, and with the defensive organizations of the Free World /NATO, SEATO, Bagdad Pact/ a Coordinating centre should be created.

#### IV. The measures to be applied.

Russia could dominate these different dissatisfied peoples only by isolating them one from each other. Kremlin despots use all possible intrigues to create misunderstandings and enmities between these subjugated peoples. Inside of every country through provocations and suspicions they isolate the individuals from one another. Today nobody trusts anybody. That is the prerequisite of the Kremlin's domination over a great majority of dissatisfied people.

That is why the first step should be the creation of the solidarity feeling between the whole enslaved population and the propagation of the consciousness of the identity of their interests. It is necessary that they have this consciousness that all are allies against a common enemy, the Russian imperialists.

As a result of this activity the Kremlin despots shall lose the feeling of stability of her regime and her aggressiveness shall become less efficient.



2. The second step should be the realization of a coordinated passive resistance of all subjugated peoples. The organization should be realized on an individual basis to make difficult, if not impossible, the successful preventive and repressive measures of the communist agents.

As a consequence of these measures the Soviets shall lose not only the possibility of continuing her economic offensive among the countries of the Free World, but also shall have difficulty to give economic aid of any importance to Red China.

3. The ~~third~~ step should be the active systematic damaging of the economic, administrative, security, military, the entire state machinery. I have in mind the organization of a large scale sabotage activity, and the creation of fighting partisan-groups against the officials of the communist party and the state organization. The individual fighters shall create partisan units.

As a consequence of this realized activity on a large scale Russia shall not have the necessary strength to realize her actual aggressiveness against the Free World.

4. As a final step there should be:

a general strike;

a general uprising of the subjugated peoples;

and the secession of the non-Russian territories.

This is an application against Soviet Empire of the methods which Russia is using in the countries of Asia and Africa.

If a general uprising would be necessary, it must be considered as an internal liberation action and not as an international liberation war of some Great Power against Russia.

These steps do not represent a risk of war for the Free World; on the contrary they dismiss the danger of war. The territo-

ries inhabited by non-Russian populations are on the edge of the Russian Empire. Through this activity the tension between these peoples and the Russians shall be augmented.

In the territories inhabited by non-Russians there are great industrial-plants, ore deposits, experimental centres etc. All these measures would represent a great danger for the regular functioning of the Russian state machinery, which to a certain degree is composed of different nationalities. The soviet military units shall feel the consequences of the animosity of the non-Russian population. The military units of the satellite countries shall be less efficient or become completely useless. Even the military units of the Soviet Union proper composed of 50% of non-Russians shall lose coherence and efficiency. All the conditions to give a mortal blow to the Russian Empire could be created in this manner.

In my mind the Free World's calculation of an uprising of the Russian people proper against the Kremlin despots is not practical. Certainly, there are Russians who oppose the communist regime. But they appreciate the results of the imperialistic policy of the Kremlin despots and are not ready to endanger them by opposing the regime which dominates Russia.

#### V. The means.

To contact the population behind the Iron Curtain it would be necessary to organize in the frame of the ABN staff information and intelligence centres.

It would be necessary to create non-official radio transmitting stations along the frontiers of the Iron Curtain, and underground transmitting stations behind the Iron Curtain.

It would be necessary to prepare and smuggle leaflets,

send couriers, etc.

2. It would be necessary to school the technical personnel as well as the fighting methods for the conditions existing behind the Iron Curtain.

3. To create centres for the technical material as well as for the necessary arms.

#### VI. A solution without war.

The danger of war will rise constantly with the rise of Russia's power. Russian power has risen to such a degree that only through substantial weakening would it be possible to find a solution of the pending problems of the world.

The way to proceed with the weakening of Russia is through the disintegration of the empire she created with her aggressions. That is why it is necessary not to lose time and to adopt this policy immediately.

The application of this policy does not risk the danger of war and also does not precipitate it. On the contrary, with the beginning of this activity the risk to wage a war would be greater for Kremlin despots.

The danger of war is in correlation to Russia's strength. If Russia is consolidated within, and she has enough power to realize with success her aggressions, there are greater probabilities of war than if she were unsure within. It is known that every war creates the preconditions for the rise of the activity of existent centrifugal forces in a state. It is improbable that Russia would start a war aiming to stop the disintegrating forces. Moscow despots know they could precipitate through war the disintegration. But could not stop it.

In case of war the centrifugal forces in Russia could

Approved For Release 2003/01/30 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200080067-3

obtain general help they could not obtain in peace time. That why the Moscow despots constantly speak about peace; in reality they are waging war without interruption against the Free World. They exploit all forces of the dominated countries for this goal. In case of war the Soviets could not augment her forces in such a manner as the Free World could if she were to introduce a war administration. Precipitating war Russia could not gain anything but would lose everything.

Through the proposed activity Moscow despots would become uncertain. Moscow could not risk a war if the majority of the enslaved population become conscious of its possibilities to defeat Russia. That why all these proposed measures do not represent an augmentation but a decrease of the actual danger of war.